Gestational Diabetes Mellitus; National Audit

FWF Hanna¹, J Harlow², J Scarpello¹, JR Peters³, PW Jones⁴ (1) Univ. Hosp. North Staffs (2) Prince Charles Hospital (3) Univ. Hosp. Of Wales (4) Keele University

GDM; Definition

- CHO intolerance, resulting in **↑**G of variable severity, with <u>onset or first recognition</u> during pregnancy, whether or not
- Insulin is used for treatment
- DM will persist after pregnancy

Gestational DM, Can we agree?



GDM Screening

Yes

 "Recommendation is based on limited or inconsistent scientific evidence"

Am.Coll Obs Gyn

No

• "the evidence is insufficient to recommend for or against routine screening for GDM" US Preventative Task Force

- 4th International Worksop on GDM
- American Diabetes Association
- Canadian Task Force on the Periodic Health Examination
- Health Technology Assessments
- NICE 2003

Planning

• Aim:

- To evaluate routine practice for GDM screening and management across the UK
- Process (2002-2004):
 - Questionnaire design
 - ABCD Circulation (<30%)</p>
 - Contact non responding Trusts
 - Ten regions:
 - England: London, SE+SW, Eastern, Trent, WM, NW, N&Yorkshire
 - Scotland, Wales, Ireland



Questionnaire

- Responding centre:
 - Locality
 - Is there a Joint Clinic?
 - Deliveries per annum
 - GDM prevalence
- GDM screening:
 - Do you routinely screen?
 - Universal or selective (high-risk population)

Questionnaire

- Screening tests:
 - Which; FPG, RPG, 50-g OGTT, glycosuria
 - Gestational age
 - Cut-off values
 - Further actions
- Sequence of tests to screen then confirm GDM

Questionnaire

- When do you initiate insulin therapy?
- Do you routinely consider foetal growth scans?
- Do you instruct patients that they are at high risk for future development of:
 - **GDM?**
 - Type 2 DM?

Results

- Response rate: 35 67 (46%)
- Most (85%) units had a joint clinic, regardless of deliveries per annum
- Reported prevalence of GDM:
 0.1 10% (median 1.5%)
- Most (82%) centres routinely screened for GDM; half universally and half screening high-risk pregnancies only

Screening Tests (1)

	Glycosuria	High-risk Features
% Use as 1 st screen	40%	11%
Gestation	Each visit (82%)	24-28w (50%)
		Booking (20%)
Further	•OGTT (55%)	•OGTT (73%)
action, if +ve	•RPG (22%)	•Diet/HBGM (8%)
		•FPG (8%)

Screening Tests (2)

	RPG	FPG
% Use as 1 st screen	28%	6%
Gestation	24-28w (29%)	24-28w (39%)
	Booking (36%)	>28w (13%)
Cut-off	>6 (67%)	>6 (40%)
Values	5.6-6 (14%)	5.6-6 (30%)
(mmol/L)		5-5.5 (18%)
Further	•OGTT (76%)	•OGTT (74%)
action, if +ve	•Diet/HBGM (9%)	•Diet/HBGM (19%)
	•FPG (9%)	

75-g OGTT

- Most likely confirmatory test, however;
- Variable <u>timing</u>:
 - 24-28 w (55%)
 - Before 24 w (7%)
 - After 28 weeks (9%)
 - If screening +ve (16%)
- Variable <u>cut-off values</u>
 - WHO
 - 5.5 and 9 mmol/l
 - Others (e.g. >8 2h, >5.6 + 8.5, RBG>9,....)

Screening Sequence 1

Sequence 1 (n=120)*

* In 49 cases this question was not answered.



Screening Sequence 2

Sequence 2 (n=106)*

* In 63 cases this question was not answered.



Screening Sequence 3

Sequence 3 (n=58)*

* In 111 cases this question was not answered.



Insulin Therapy

- Most (89%) centres have guidelines, however,
 - Variable surrogates: FPG, RPG, 1hPP, 2h-PP
 - Variable cut-off values
- Most (95%) assess foetal growth routinely

Post-Partum Care

- Screening undertaken by 90%
- 75g-OGTT used by 93%
- Most (90%) centres counsel patients about their high risk for further development of GDM and type 2 DM

Regional Variability

- Aim: To assess regional variability trends
- Methods:
 - CHI Square test
 - Statxact 4 (Cytel Corp., Cambridge Mass)
- Results: No clear variability trends within the various regions of the UK

Regional Variability

	Fasting Glucose	Random Glucose	Glycosuria	High Risk Features
Timing	(0.37)	(0.25)	(0.18)	(0.72)
Cut-off Values	(0.73)	(0.61)	N/A	N/A
Subsequent OGTT	<u>0.03</u>	0.58	0.57	0.47

GDM, Update

• ACHOIS (NEJM, 2005):

- RCT, routine vs. GDM treatment (~500 each)
- <u>Conclusion</u>: GDM treatment reduces serious perinatal morbidity and may also improve the woman's healthrelated quality of life
- Colorado GDM Screening Program (D Care, 2005):
 - 36,403 singleton pregnancies
 - GDM prevalence doubled from 1994-2002
 - Prevalence increased in all ethnic groups
- A Study of Discordant Siblings (Diabetes, 2000):
 - DM risk \(\earlies\) in siblings born after mother developed DM, than in those born before the mother's diagnosis
 - In-utero exposure to DM conveys high risk for development of DM & obesity in offspring, in excess of risk attributable to genetic factors alone

GDM; We Do Not Agree!!

Should We?

